

Notice of Allowability

Application No.

10/649,031

Examiner

Sanh D. Phu

Applicant(s)

SAXENA ET AL.

Art Unit

2618

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. ☒ This communication is responsive to the Amendment filed on 7/26/2007.
2. ☒ The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-4 and 7-10.
3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* Certified copies not received: _____.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.

THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.

4. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
5. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
- (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
- 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date _____.
- (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date _____.
- Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
6. ☐ DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 5. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 6. <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413),
Paper No./Mail Date _____ |
| 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),
Paper No./Mail Date _____ | 7. <input type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Amendment/Comment |
| 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit
of Biological Material | 8. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance |
| | 9. <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on 7/26/07.

Accordingly, claims 1-4 and 7-10 are currently pending; and claims 5, 6 and 11-21 are canceled.

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

2. Claims 1-4 and 7-10 are allowed.
3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

-Regarding independent claim 1, none of prior art of record teaches or suggests a mobile communication system, as claimed. Jone et al (6,108,314) in view of Fisher et al (6,936,936) and Fouladpour (6,608,264), previously cited, teaches the claimed mobile communication system except either one or combination of them at least fails to teach that the mobile communication system comprises a router wherein the router includes communication components only for wireless communications with personal-use computing devices through at least one antenna, the router being configured to communicate with PCMCIA cards through the at least one antenna. In Jone et al system in view of Fisher et al and Fouladpour, the router does not

Art Unit: 2618

communicate with PCMCIA cards through its antenna. It would not have been obvious for one skilled in the art to additionally implement Jone et al system in view of Fisher et al and Fouladpour, in such a way that the router would communicate with PCMCIA cards through its antenna, for leading such the implementation to the claimed invention.

-Regarding independent claim 9, none of prior art of record teaches or suggests a mobile communication system, as claimed. Jone et al in view of Fisher et al and Fouladpour teaches the claimed mobile communication system except either one or combination of them at least fails to teach that the mobile communication system comprises cabling configured to coupled a power adapter to a router to provide output power from the power adapter to the router wherein the cabling includes a USB cable that includes a male USB connector at a first end for coupling to a USB port of the power adapter, and includes a splitter at a second end with at least two female USB ports; and a power cable that includes a male USB connector on a first end of the power cable configured to be inserted in one of the female USB ports of the USB cable,

and includes a female power connector on a second end of the power cable, the female power connector configured to be inserted into a power port of the router. In Jone et al in view of Fisher et al and Fouladpour, the cabling lacks of such a USB cable and such a power cable. It would not have been obvious for one skilled in the art to implement Jone et al system in view of Fisher et al and Fouladpour with such a USB cable and such a power cable for leading the implementation to the claimed invention.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."


Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sanh D. Phu whose telephone number

is (571)272-7857. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Fr from 8:00-16:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew D. Anderson can be reached on (571) 272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


MATTHEW ANDERSON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER